Partnership Board for Health and Wellbeing Report

Date: 16/11/11

Report Title: Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA), Health & Wellbeing

Strategy

List of attachments to this report: JSNA Specification v.0.1

Summary

Purpose

To agree a specification for the Bath and North East Somerset Joint Strategic Needs Assessment

Recommendations

2 To discuss andagree (pending any required amendments) the terms of reference for the JSNA.

To note that the JSNA sets the framework for local prioritisation and development of the health and Wellbeing Strategy (HWS).

Rationale

The JSNA is a statutory requirement and the board agreed that a specification document should be presented at this meeting.

Other Options Considered

The current specification has been designed in consultation with HWB members and an officer steering group, taking into account feedback from the Institute of Public care.

Financial Implications

5 Activities defined within this report are to be managed through existing resources in the Council and NHS.

Risk Management

A risk management process is managed through the JSNA officer steering group.

Equality issues

7 Understanding the differing needs of our diverse communities will be an explicit part of the JSNA process.

Legal Issues

8 None identified

Engagement & Involvement

- 8 Public engagement information is an integral part of this project (See attached report).
- 9 As such, further engagement can be integrated into the Healthy Conversation process and further engagement will be conducted based

on identification of key communities by HWB and steering group members.

Contact person/Author	Jon Poole (01225 477230
	Paul Scott (01225 831848
Responsible Director	Ashley Aire
Background papers	

Working together for health & wellbeing

JSNA 2011 Specification

1. Aim

To create a document and underlying process which informs the Health and Wellbeing Board (HWB) in their development of the Bath and North East Somerset Health and Wellbeing Strategy by publishing an assessment of health and social needs in the population and how local services are meeting those needs.

To support development of a vehicle to present more detailed health and wellbeing data via the internet.

To assist in the development of the HWB's work through regular updates.

2. Approach

The assessment will be based on the ONS defined resident population of Bath and North East Somerset, unless otherwise stated in specific analysis.

Headline chapters

The following is proposed as an outline structure, based on proposals by the Health and Wellbeing Board in September 2011.

Inequalities (as a cross-cutting issue)

- Wellbeing and other broader social determinants
 - Community Capacity (social capital) and other social assets
 - Cultural activities
 - Income and Employment (including Local Economic Assessment)
 - Education and Skills
 - Climate Change and Severe weather
 - Crime and Disorder (Community safety strategic assessment)
 - Housing
 - Benefits
 - The broader environment (e.g. Core Strategy Evidence base)
- Health determinants

- Early years, breastfeeding, immunisations
- Public health & lifestyle determinants
- Smoking, obesity, physical activity
- Drugs & alcohol
- Broad conditions and trends
 - Morbidity, mortality and life expectancy
 - Planned and Unplanned Care
 - Safeguarding

Managing Long term conditions

- Primary Care
- Planned and urgent health and social care system (including mental Health)
- Medicines Management
- Learning difficulties, physical and sensory impairment

Demographic Trends and Future Forecasting

- Population and demography
 - Explicit focus on equalities dimensions/groups as well
- To draw from all of above as relevant where trends/changes can be identified

Analytical approach:

Analysis of each subject will be developed with HWB members and other stakeholders as relevant and will based on the following questions. For more detail about each section, please refer to Appendix 1.

- O What does the data say?
- What does the community say? (public engagement summary)
- o Are we meeting the needs?
- What can we realistically do/change? (context)

A continuing process

JSNA is considered an ongoing process rather than a static document, as such a review process (no less than annually) is recommended.

It is proposed that the HWB develops key 'gaps in knowledge' as part of this process and there is a commitment to use these key gaps to influence future work of local analysts.

3. Inputs

- Project Management Time
- Analysts time to assess:
 - Local Data
 - National Data
 - Information gathered through community/patient engagement work

- Officer time from specific services to provide expert interpretation on key data
- Outputs from commissioned external research projects (TBC).

All inputs are to be provided from existing staff.

4. Outputs

A 2-page summary document for public consumption (this may be integrated with the Health & Wellbeing Strategy following further discussions)

A distinct JSNA document, no more than 15 pages long to be published by the board and used as a key document to influence the on-going development of the Health and Wellbeing strategy.

A web-portal holding in-depth analysis referenced in the JSNA document (and other research published by or about the authority area and its communities).

Milestones

October:

- Engage with Health and Wellbeing Board members
- Finalise Specificatiion,
- Steering Group meeting,
- Engage with key officers/partners as relevant to collect information

November:

- Collate analytical work and define key gaps
- Health and Wellbeing Board sign off Specification
- Health and Wellbeing Board assess data gaps and prioritise analysis
- analysis & other engagement with key officers/partners as appropriate

December:

- Draft JSNA produced
- HWB comment
- Further analysis/engagement as relevant

January

- Final document

Ongoing - Online JSNA library

Ongoing– Updates to HWB with key findings of research and updates of key document in line with HWS

5. Governance

The Health and Wellbeing Board will act as project sponsor for this work.

Jon Poole and Paul Scott (see below) will act as project managers.

An officer steering group will provide direction and support the project managers:

Name	Role or representation
Pamela Akerman	Director of Public Health, B&NES H&WB Partnership
Mike Bowden	Divisional Director, People and Communities
CCG Board	
representative as available	B&NES Clinical Commissioning Group
Tracey Cox	Programme Director, B&NES H&WB Partnership
Helen Edelstyn	Strategy and Plan Manager, Policy and Partnerships
Jon Poole	Research and intelligence Manager, Policy and Partnerships
	Assistant Director of Public Health, B&NES H&WB
Paul Scott	Partnership
	Assistant Director, Communications and Corporate
Derek Thorne	Affairs, B&NES H&WB Partnership
David Trethewey	Divisional Director, Policy and Partnerships

The process of updating HWB with new and emerging findings

6. Interdependencies

A variety of other individual research projects, including for example 'Understanding our most Vulnerable'.

Research Library ("Drupal" Project) - developing an online system to store and disseminate research documents. This system is necessary to deliver output 3.2 above.

Framework for an 'Enabling' Needs Assessment... **Understanding differences** between and within Listening to our communities communities What does the data say? What does the community say? Who is our target community? Strategic Context (Inc. community Population & Demography leadership & Elected Member steer) Social/Economic Context & Engagement & Consultation determinants Formal (e.g. surveys, user) What are the specific feedback etc.) gaps/needs/risks and assets? · Informal (comments to Small area data – geographical service providers etc.) variations/equalities groups/"virtual How do we consult communities" providers? (avoid undue Trends and patterns (Inc. influence) benchmarking) What can we practically do/ Are we currently meeting the change? needs? BE REALISTIC Are we doing what we said we'd do What is the budget? (performance) · Are there savings to be Service use (Inc. non-commissioned made? agencies Do we need to deliver more with Take-up/demand/waits less? Gaps (Who are we missing?) Evidence of effectiveness: Capability of the market Political direction Internal or external Deliver statutory Requirements evaluations Other quality measures (e.g. Value What is within our gift to influence? for Money, SROI) What is the community (or other How can we enable the community orgs?) already delivering? **Enabling** to deliver more for itself? Quality &

communities

Developed from;

LGID (2011) Joint Strategic Needs Assessment: Springboard for Action (LGID)

Performance

Shaw,B (2010) <u>How to evaluate impact of environmental research Policy</u> Studies Institute

B&NES Elected member feedback from Cabinet away day - Jun 2011

Produced by Research & Intelligence Team, Bath and North East Somerset Council

research@bathnes.gov.uk
19/10/11